arXiv:1411.7744v1 [math.RA] 28 Nov 2014

Conservative algebras of 2-dimensional algebras

Ivan Kaygorodov[a,b], Artem Lopatin[c], Yury Popov[b,d], ,

[a] Universidade Federal do ABC, CMCC, Santo André, Brazil.
[b] Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Novosibirsk, Russia.
[c] Omsk Branch of Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Omsk, Russia.
[d] Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, Russia.

1. Introduction

We work over an arbitrary field of characteristic zero. For a linear mapping $A(x)$ and a bilinear mapping $B(x,y)$ we define a anticommutative multiplication as

$$[A,B](x,y) = A(B(x,y)) - B(A(x),y) - B(x,A(y)).$$

We will define a left multiplication on element x as the linear mapping L_x.

Following Kantor [1], we say that algebra A with bilinear multiplication P and vector space W is a conservative algebra if on vector space W we can define a new bilinear multiplication F satisfying

$$[L_b,[L_a,P]] = -[L_{F(a,b)},P]. \tag{1}$$

In other words, conservative algebras satisfy the following identity

$$b(a(xy)-(ax)y-x(ay))-a((bx)y)+(a(bx))y+(bx)(ay)-a(x(by))+(ax)(by)+x(a(by)) =$$

$$-F(a,b)(xy)+(F(a,b)x)y+x(F(a,b)y). \tag{2}$$

The algebra with the multiplication F is said to be associated to A.

It is easy to see, that every 4-nilpotent algebra is a conservative algebra with $F(a,b)=0$.

The notion of conservative algebras was introduced by Kantor [1], as a generalization of Jordan algebras. Kantor classified all conservative algebras of order two in [1] and defined class terminal algebras, as algebras with multiplication P satisfying

$$[[[P,x],P],P] = 0. \tag{3}$$

He proved that every terminal algebra is a conservative algebra and classified all simple finite-dimentional terminal algebras with left quasi-unit over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero [2]. Terminal trilinear operations were studied in [3], and some questions conserning classification of simple conservative algebras were considered in [4]. After that, Cantarini and Kac classified simple finite-dimensional (and linearly compact) super-commutative and super-anticommutative conservative superalgebras and some generalization of these algebras (also known as "rigid" superalgebras) over an algebraically closed field with characteristic zero (see [5]). Similarly to the Lie algebra gl_n of all linear mappings on n-dimensional vector space, Kantor defined the conservative algebra $W(n)$ of bilinear mapping on n-dimensional space [6, 7]. Algebra $W(n)$ has simple terminal subalgebras [2].

Recently a great interest has been shown to the study of Jordan and Lie algebras and superalgebras, as well as their generalizations with derivations. Namely, Popov determined the structure of differentiably simple Jordan algebras [8]; Kaygorodov and Popov described the structure of Jordan algebras with derivations with invertible values [9] and the structure of Jordan algebras with invertible Leibniz-derivations [10]; Barreiro, Elduque and Martínez descibed derivations of Cheng-Kac Jordan superalgebra [11]; Kaygorodov and Okhapkina found all δ-derivations of semisimple structurable algebras [12]; Kaygorodov, Shestakov, Zhelyabin and Zusmanovich studied generalized derivations of Jordan and Lie algebras and superalgebras in [13]–[20].

Another important problem is investigation of subalgebras for associative and non-associative algebras. For example, subalgebras of codimension one were studied by Dzhumadildaev [21], Wilansky [22] and others.

The main purpose of this paper is to find out relations between well-known varieties of algebras and conservative algebras and the second purpose is to investigate conservative algebras of 2-dimensional algebras: derivations and subalgebras of codimension 1.

2. Conservative algebras

Associative algebras. The variety of associative algebras is defined by the identity

$$(ab)c = a(bc).$$

Every associative algebra is conservative (see [1]).

Jordan algebras. The variety of Jordan algebras is defined by the identities

$$ab = ba, (a^2b)a = a^2(ba).$$

Every Jordan algebra is conservative (see [23]).

Structurable algebras. The variety of structurable algebras is one of generalizations of unital Jordan algebras. We can define a structurable algebra A as an unital algebra with involution $\overline{\ }$ and the identity

$$[V_{x,1}, V_{z,w}] = V_{V_{x,1}z,w} - V_{z,V_{1,x}w}, \text{ where } V_{x,y}z = (x\overline{y})z + (z\overline{y})x - (z\overline{x})y.$$

If A with involution $\overline{\ }$ is a structurable algebra, then a new multiplication $*$ can be defined in A by

$$x * y = xy + y(x - \overline{x}).$$

The algebra A with multiplication $*$ is a conservative algebra [24].

Terminal algebras. The variety of terminal algebras is defined by identity (3). Every terminal algebra is conservative (see [2]).

Quasi-associative algebras. For more definitions see [29]. We consider quasi-associative algebra Q as associative algebra A with multiplication ab and new multiplication $a \circ b = \lambda ab + (1-\lambda)ba$ for the fixed element λ from the base field. Then, $[L_a, P](x, y) = -\lambda xy - (1-\lambda)yx$, and

$$[L_b, [L_a, P]](x, y) = \lambda(1-\lambda)(xbay + yabx) + \lambda^2 xaby + (1-\lambda)^2 ybax =$$
$$-\lambda x((1-\lambda)ba + \lambda ab)y + (1-\lambda)y(\lambda ab + (1-\lambda)ba)x =$$
$$-\lambda x(a \circ b)y - (1-\lambda)y(a \circ b)x = -[L_{a\circ b}, P](x, y).$$

It follows that every quasi-associative algebra is conservative.

Lie algebras. The variety of Lie algebras is defined by the identities

$$ab = -ba, (ab)c + (bc)a + (ca)b = 0.$$

Every Lie algebra is conservative (see [1]).

Left Leibniz algebras. The variety of left Leibniz algebras is defined by the identity $a(bc) = (ab)c + b(ac)$. Every Leibniz algebra is conservative, since $[L_a, P] = 0$.

Malcev algebras. The variety of Malcev algebras is defined by the identities

$$xy = -yx, J(a, x, y)a = J(a, x, ay), \text{ where } J(a, x, y) = (ax)y + (xy)a + (ya)x.$$

We prove that simple 7-dimensional Malcev algebra M_7 is not conservative algebra. In the case of an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero we can choose the following basis of algebra M_7:

$$B_{M_7} = \{h, x, y, z, x', y', z'\}$$

with the multiplication table

$$hx = 2x, hy = 2y, hz = 2z,$$
$$hx' = -2x', hy' = -2y', hz' = -2z',$$
$$xx' = yy' = zz' = h,$$
$$xy = 2z', yz = 2x', zx = 2y',$$
$$x'y' = -2z, y'z' = -2x, z'x' = -2y,$$

where other products of basic elements are zero (see [27]).

For conservative Malcev algebras we can re-write (2) as

$$J(a, x, y)a = J(F(a, a), x, y).$$

Here, if $a = x', x = y', y = z'$ we have $J(x', y', z')x' = -12x'$, but $J(F, y', z') \neq x'$ for every $F \in M_7$. It follows that M_7 is not a conservative algebra.

Non-commutative Jordan algebras. The class of non-commutative Jordan algebras is defined by the identities

$$(a^2b)a = a^2(ba), (ab)a = a(ba).$$

It includes all associative algebras, alternative algebras, Jordan algebras, quasi-associative algebras and all anticommutative algebras (in particular, Lie, Malcev, binary-Lie algebras). Every conservative algebra with a unit is a non-commutative Jordan algebra, every flexible conservative algebra with $F(a, b) = ab$ is a non-commutative Jordan algebra [1]. There is an example of simple non-conservative non-commutative Jordan algebra, namelely, the Malcev algebra M_7.

Poisson algebras. Let P be a vector space with an associative commutative multiplication ab and a Lie multiplication $\{a, b\}$. Then the algebra P is a Poisson algebra, if is defined by the identity

$$\{ab, c\} = a\{b, c\} + \{a, c\}b.$$

For any Poisson algebra P we can define a new multiplication $*$ as follows: $a*b = ab+\{a, b\}$. It was proved that the algebra $(P, *)$ is a non-commutative Jordan algebra. Note that $[L_a, P](x, y) = -a(xy + \{x, y\}) - \{x, a\}y - x\{a, y\}$. Now we have

$$[L_b, [L_a, P]](x, y) = -(baxy+ba\{x, y\}+b\{x, a\}y+bx\{a, y\}+\{b, axy\}+\{b, a\{x, y\}\}+\{b, \{x, a\}y\}+\{b, x\{a, y\}\}-$$
$$abxy - a\{bx, y\} - \{bx, a\}y - bx\{a, y\} - a\{b, x\}y - a\{\{b, x\}, y\} - \{\{b, x\}, a\}y - \{b, x\}\{a, y\}-$$
$$axby - a\{x, by\} - \{x, a\}by - x\{a, by\}) - ax\{b, y\} - a\{x, \{b, y\}\} - \{x, a\}\{b, y\} - x\{a, \{b, y\}\}) =$$
$$ab(xy + \{x, y\}) + x\{ab, y\} + y\{x, ab\} + \{b, a\}(xy + \{x, y\}) + \{\{a, b\}, xy\} =$$
$$-[L_{a*b}, P](x, y).$$

Hence, $(P, *)$ is a conservative algebra. Note that, using the Kantor construction from any Poisson algebra, we can obtain Jordan superalgebra (in particular, conservative superalgebra) [25] and from Poisson superalgebra (and more generally, from superalgebra of Jordan bracket [26]) we can obtain Jordan superalgebra, where the even part is a Jordan (non-associaitve) algebra (in particular, conservative algebra).

Left-commutative algebras. The variety of left-commutative algebras is defined by the identity

$$a(bx) = b(ax).$$

Since every conservative left-commutative algebra satisfies identity (2), then

$$b(a(xy) - (ax)y - x(ay)) - a((bx)y) + (a(bx))y + (bx)(ay) - a(x(by)) + (ax)(by) + x(a(by)) =$$
$$[b(a(xy)) - b(x(ay))] + [(bx)(ay) - a((bx)y] + [(ax)(by) - b((ax)y))] + [x(a(by)) - a(x(by))] + (a(bx))y =$$
$$(a(bx))y$$

and

$$[x(F(a, b)y) - F(a, b)(xy)] + (F(a, b)x)y = (F(a, b)x)y.$$

Thus the identity

$$(a(bx))y = (F(a,b)x)y \tag{4}$$

holds in every conservative left-commutative algebra. It is easy to see that we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let A be a left-commutative conservative algebra with trivial annihilator, then A is a generalized associative algebra and A^* is a commutative.*

Proof. The equality (4) implies that every element $a(bx) - F(a,b)x$ lies in annihilator of algebra and A satisfies the equality $F(a,b)x = a(bx)$. Obviously,t $F(a,b)x = a(bx) = b(ax) = F(b,a)x$ and thus the algebra A^* is commutative. The theorem is proven.

Example 2. *There exists a simple non-conservative left-commutative algebra.*

Proof. Following [28], we consider the simple left-commutative algebra A with a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ and the multiplication table $e_i \cdot e_j = je_j$. If A is conservative, then, by theorem 1, we have

$$e_1 \cdot (e_1 \cdot e_1) = F(e_1, e_1) \cdot e_1,$$

where $F(e_1, e_1) = \sum \alpha_i e_i$. It is easy to see that $\sum \alpha_i = 1$. In algebra A we also have the equality

$$e_1 \cdot (e_1 \cdot e_2) = F(e_1, e_1) \cdot e_2$$

and $4e_2 = 2\sum \alpha_i e_2$. Thus $\sum \alpha_i = 2$. and the algebra A is non-conservative.

3. Conservative algebra of 2-dimensional algebras

The classifications of 2-dimensional algebras was given in [30]. Following Kantor [7], for 2-dimensional vector space E_2 we define conservative algebra $W(2)$. The space of the algebra $W(2)$ is the space of all bilinear operations on the 2-dimensional space E_2 with the basis e_1, e_2. To specify the operation of multiplication $\cdot$ on $W(2)$ we fix a vector $e_1 \in E_2$ and set

$$(A \cdot B)(x,y) = A(e_1, B(x,y)) - B(A(e_1,x), y) - B(x, A(e_1,y)),$$

where $x, y \in E_2$ and $A, B \in W(2)$. The algebra $W(2)$ is conservative (see [7]) and the multiplication F on the associated to $W(2)$ algebra can be given by the equality

$$F(A,B) = (1/3)(A^* \cdot B + \tilde{B} \cdot A), \text{ where } A^* = A + A^T, \tilde{B} = 2B^T - B, A^T(x,y) = A(y,x).$$

More details can be found in Section 1. Let $A(e_i, e_j) = a^1_{ij}e_1 + a^2_{ij}e_2$ and $B(e_i, e_j) = b^1_{ij}e_1 + b^2e_2$ for a^1_{ij}, a^2_{ij}, b^1_{ij}, b^2_{ij} from the base field. Then

$$(A \cdot B)(e_i, e_j) = A(e_1, B(e_i, e_j)) - B(A(e_1, e_i), e_j) - B(e_i, A(e_1, e_j)) = \tag{5}$$

$$A(e_1, b^1_{ij}e_1 + b^2_{ij}e_2) - B(a^1_{1i}e_1 + a^2_{1i}e_2, e_j) - B(e_i, a^1_{1j}e_1 + a^2_{1j}e_2) =$$

$$(a^1_{11}b^1_{ij} + a^1_{12}b^2_{ij} - a^1_{1i}b^1_{1j} - a^2_{1i}b^1_{2j} - a^1_{1j}b^1_{i1} - a^2_{1j}b^1_{i2})e_1 +$$

$$(a^2_{11}b^1_{ij} + a^2_{12}b^2_{ij} - a^1_{1i}b^2_{1j} - a^2_{1i}b^2_{2j} - a^1_{1j}b^2_{i1} - a^2_{1j}b^2_{i2})e_2.$$

We consider the multiplication $\alpha^k_{i,j}$ on E_2 defined by the following formula: $\alpha^k_{i,j}(e_t, e_l) = \delta_{it}\delta_{jl}e_k$. It is easy to see that $\{\alpha^k_{i,j} | i,j,k = 1,2\}$ is the basis of the algebra $W(2)$. Applying (5), we can describe the multiplication table of the conservative algebra $W(2)$:

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\alpha^1_{11}\cdot\alpha^1_{11}=-\alpha^1_{11} & \alpha^1_{12}\cdot\alpha^1_{11}=-\alpha^1_{12}-\alpha^1_{21} & \alpha^2_{11}\cdot\alpha^1_{11}=\alpha^2_{11} & \alpha^2_{12}\cdot\alpha^1_{11}=0\\
\alpha^1_{11}\cdot\alpha^1_{12}=0 & \alpha^1_{12}\cdot\alpha^1_{12}=-\alpha^1_{22} & \alpha^2_{11}\cdot\alpha^1_{12}=-\alpha^1_{11}+\alpha^2_{12} & \alpha^2_{12}\cdot\alpha^1_{12}=-\alpha^1_{12}\\
\alpha^1_{11}\cdot\alpha^1_{21}=0 & \alpha^1_{12}\cdot\alpha^1_{21}=-\alpha^1_{22} & \alpha^2_{11}\cdot\alpha^1_{21}=-\alpha^1_{11}+\alpha^2_{21} & \alpha^2_{12}\cdot\alpha^1_{21}=-\alpha^1_{21}\\
\alpha^1_{11}\cdot\alpha^1_{22}=\alpha^1_{22} & \alpha^1_{12}\cdot\alpha^1_{22}=0 & \alpha^2_{11}\cdot\alpha^1_{22}=-\alpha^1_{12}-\alpha^1_{21}+\alpha^2_{22} & \alpha^2_{12}\cdot\alpha^1_{22}=-2\alpha^1_{22}\\
\alpha^1_{11}\cdot\alpha^2_{11}=-2\alpha^2_{11} & \alpha^1_{12}\cdot\alpha^2_{11}=\alpha^1_{11}-\alpha^2_{21}-\alpha^2_{12} & \alpha^2_{11}\cdot\alpha^2_{11}=0 & \alpha^2_{12}\cdot\alpha^2_{11}=\alpha^2_{11}\\
\alpha^1_{11}\cdot\alpha^2_{12}=-\alpha^2_{12} & \alpha^1_{12}\cdot\alpha^2_{12}=\alpha^1_{12}-\alpha^2_{22} & \alpha^2_{11}\cdot\alpha^2_{12}=-\alpha^2_{11} & \alpha^2_{12}\cdot\alpha^2_{12}=0\\
\alpha^1_{11}\cdot\alpha^2_{21}=-\alpha^2_{21} & \alpha^1_{12}\cdot\alpha^2_{21}=\alpha^1_{21}-\alpha^2_{22} & \alpha^2_{11}\cdot\alpha^2_{21}=-\alpha^2_{11} & \alpha^2_{12}\cdot\alpha^2_{21}=0\\
\alpha^1_{11}\cdot\alpha^2_{22}=0 & \alpha^1_{12}\cdot\alpha^2_{22}=\alpha^1_{22} & \alpha^2_{11}\cdot\alpha^2_{22}=-\alpha^2_{12}-\alpha^2_{21} & \alpha^2_{12}\cdot\alpha^2_{22}=-\alpha^2_{22}
\end{array}
$$

In what follows, we will describe some properties of the conservative algebra $W(2)$.

Definition. An element a of the algebra M is called a Jacobi element if

$$a(xy)=(ax)y+x(ay).$$

In other words, the transformation L_a is a derivation if and only if a is a Jacobi element.

It follows from [7] that the codimension of Jacobi space in the algebra $W(2)$ is two. Using the multiplication table of $W(2)$, we can find the space J of Jacobi elements of the algebra $W(2)$. It is a subspace of $W(2)$ generated by α^k_{ij}, for all $i+j>2$.

Definition. An element e is said to be a left quasiunit if

$$e(xy)=(ex)y+x(ey)-xy \text{ for all} x,y$$

It is obvious that if e is a left quasiunit then for any $x\in J$ we have another left quasiunit $e+x$. Kantor [7] noted that the algebra $W(2)$ has a left quasiunit. We look for a left quasiunit e as $\alpha\alpha^1_{11}+\beta\alpha^2_{11}$. Straightforward computations provide the equality $e=-\alpha^1_{11}$. It is easy to see that $-\alpha^1_{11}$ is a left quasiunit, but it is not a left unit.

Theorem 3. *The algebra of derivations of $W(2)$ is a solvable 2-dimensional Lie algebra.*

Proof. We define $e_1=\alpha^1_{11}, e_2=\alpha^1_{12}, e_3=\alpha^1_{21}, e_4=\alpha^1_{22}, e_5=\alpha^2_{11}, e_6=\alpha^2_{12}, e_7=\alpha^2_{21}, e_8=\alpha^2_{22}$ for some elements α^k_{ij} from the base field. Given a derivation D of the algebra $W(2)$, we have $D(e_i)=\sum x_{ij}e_j$. We consider some relations between images of $e_1,\dots,e_8$ with respect to D to obtain a system of linear equations on $\{x_{ij}\}$.

Since $-D(e_1)=D(e_1)e_1+e_1D(e_1)$, then

$$x_{11}=0, x_{12}=x_{13}, x_{14}=0, x_{18}=0.$$

Since $0=D(e_1e_2)=D(e_1)e_2+e_1D(e_2)$, we have

$$x_{21}=-x_{15}, x_{25}=0, x_{16}=0, x_{12}=x_{24}, x_{26}=x_{15}, x_{27}=0.$$

Since $0=D(e_1e_3)=D(e_1)e_3+e_1D(e_3)$, we have

$$x_{31}=-x_{15}, x_{34}=x_{12}, x_{35}=0, x_{36}=0, x_{37}=x_{15}.$$

Since $-D(e_2+e_3)=D(e_2e_1)=D(e_2)e_1+e_2D(e_1)$, we have

$$x_{31}=-x_{15}, x_{32}=0, x_{17}=x_{28}+x_{38}.$$

Since $-D(e_4)=D(e_2e_2)=D(e_2)e_2+e_2D(e_2)$, we have

$$x_{41}=0, x_{43}=x_{21}, x_{44}=2x_{22}+x_{23}-x_{28}, x_{48}=x_{26}.$$

Since $-D(e_4)=D(e_2e_3)=D(e_2)e_3+e_2D(e_3)$, we have

$$x_{44}=x_{22}+x_{33}+x_{38}.$$

Since $D(e_5) = D(e_5)e_1 + e_5D(e_1)$, we have

$$x_{51} = -x_{12}, x_{52} = 0, x_{53} = 0, x_{54} = 0, x_{56} = x_{12}, x_{57} = x_{13}, x_{58} = 0, x_{17} = 0.$$

Since $-D(e_6) = D(e_1)e_6 + e_1D(e_6)$, we have

$$-x_{62} = x_{12}, x_{63} = 0, x_{64} = 0, x_{65} = -x_{15}, x_{68} = x_{12}.$$

Since $-D(e_7) = D(e_1)e_7 + e_1D(e_7)$, we have

$$x_{72} = 0, x_{73} = -x_{12}, x_{74} = 0, x_{75} = -x_{15}, x_{78} = x_{12}.$$

Since $0 = D(e_1)e_8 + e_1D(e_8)$, we have

$$x_{81} = 0, x_{12} = -x_{84}, x_{85} = 0, x_{15} = -x_{86}, x_{87} = -x_{15}.$$

Since $D(e_1 - e_7 - e_8) = D(e_2)e_5 + e_2D(e_5)$, we have

$$x_{71} + x_{61} = -x_{22} - x_{55}, x_{76} + x_{66} = x_{22} + x_{55} = x_{77} + x_{67}.$$

Since $D - (e_1 + e_6) = D(e_5)e_2 + e_5D(e_2)$, we have

$$x_{61} = -x_{55} - x_{22} - x_{23}, x_{66} = x_{55} + x_{22} - x_{28}, x_{67} = x_{23} - x_{28} \text{ and } x_{28} = x_{76}.$$

Since $D(-e_1 + e_7) = D(e_5e_3) = D(e_5)e_3 + e_5D(e_3)$, we have

$$x_{71} = -x_{55} - x_{33}, x_{76} = -x_{38}, x_{77} = x_{55} + x_{33} - x_{38}.$$

From $D(-e_2 - e_3 + e_8) = D(e_5e_4) = D(e_5)e_4 + e_5D(e_4)$, we have

$$x_{22} - x_{82} = x_{23} + x_{33} - x_{83} = x_{55} + x_{44} = -x_{28} - x_{38} + x_{88}.$$

From $D(e_2 - e_8) = D(e_2e_6) = D(e_2)e_6 + e_2D(e_6)$, we have

$$x_{82} = x_{61} - x_{66}, x_{23} - x_{83} = x_{67} - x_{61}, x_{88} - x_{22} = x_{22} + x_{66} + x_{67}.$$

From $D(e_3 - e_8) = D(e_2e_7) = D(e_2)e_7 + e_2D(e_7)$, we have

$$x_{82} = -x_{71} + x_{76}, x_{33} + x_{83} = x_{22} - x_{71} + x_{77}, x_{38} + x_{88} = -x_{22} - x_{76} + x_{77}.$$

From $D(e_4) = D(e_2e_8) = D(e_2)e_8 + e_2D(e_8)$, we have

$$x_{26} + x_{86} + x_{87} = 0.$$

From $-D(e_5) = D(e_5e_6) = D(e_5)e_6 + e_5D(e_6)$, we have

$$x_{61} = x_{66} + x_{67}.$$

From $-D(e_5) = D(e_5e_7) = D(e_5)e_7 + e_5D(e_7)$, we have

$$x_{71} = x_{76} + x_{77}..$$

From $-D(e_6 + e_7) = D(e_5e_8) = D(e_5)e_8 + e_5D(e_8)$, we have

$$x_{61} + x_{71} = x_{83} + x_{82}, x_{66} + x_{76} = x_{55} - x_{82} + x_{88}, x_{67} + x_{77} = x_{55} - x_{83} + x_{88}.$$

From $0 = D(e_6e_1) = D(e_6)e_1 + e_6D(e_1)$, we have

$$x_{61} = 0.$$

From $0 = D(e_7e_1) = D(e_7)e_1 + e_7D(e_1)$, we have

$$x_{71} = 0, x_{75} = 0.$$

Now, it is easy to see, that

$$x_{12} = x_{13} = x_{24} = x_{34} = -x_{51} = x_{56} = x_{57} = -x_{62} = x_{68} = -x_{73} = x_{78} = -x_{84} = z,$$

$$x_{22} = x_{33} = \frac{x_{44}}{2} = -x_{55} = x_{88} = w$$

and all other elements x_{ij} are equal to zero.

Note that left multiplications on elements e_2 and e_6 are derivations ad_{e_2} and ad_{e_6} and generating subalgebra of derivations $InnDer(W(2))$, where $[ad_{e_6}, ad_{e_2}] = ad_{e_2}$. Every element of subalgebra $InnDer(W(2))$ we can represent as linear mapping with matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & z & z & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & w & 0 & z & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & w & z & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & w & 0 & 2w & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -z & 0 & 0 & 0 & -w & z & z & 0 \\ 0 & -z & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & z \\ 0 & 0 & -z & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & z \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -z & 0 & 0 & 0 & w \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6}$$

The theorem is proved.

Theorem 4. *Let B be a subalgebra of $W(2)$ of codimension 1, then B is generated by $e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_6, e_7, e_8$.*

Proof. We call subalgebra B is trivial, if B is generated by $e_1, \dots, \widehat{e_i}, \dots, e_8$. Then,
1. If $e_i = e_1$, then $e_5e_2 = -e_1 + e_6$; and $B = W(2)$.
2. If $e_i = e_2$, then $e_5e_4 = -e_2 - e_3 + e_8$; and $B = W(2)$.
3. If $e_i = e_3$, then $e_5e_4 = -e_2 - e_3 + e_8$; and $B = W(2)$.
4. If $e_i = e_4$, then $e_3e_8 = e_4$; and $B = W(2)$.
5. If $e_i = e_5$, then $\langle e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_6, e_7, e_8\rangle$ is a proper subalgebra.
6. If $e_i = e_6$, then $e_5e_8 = -e_6 - e_7$; and $B = W(2)$.
7. If $e_i = e_7$, then $e_5e_8 = -e_6 - e_7$; and $B = W(2)$.
8. If $e_i = e_8$, then $e_2e_7 = e_3 - e_8$; and $B = W(2)$.

Now, let subalgebra B has linear basis $\{\sum_{j=1}^{8} \alpha_{ji}e_j\}_{i=1,\dots,7}$. We can say that or B is trivial subalgebra, or we can choose the basis $\{e_j + \alpha_j e_8\}_{j=1,\dots,7}$.

In last case, we can see that

$$(e_1 + \alpha_1 e_8)(e_1 + \alpha_1 e_8) = -e_1 \in B;$$
$$(e_2 + \alpha_2 e_8)e_1 = -e_2 - e_3 \in B;$$
$$e_1(e_4 + \alpha_4 e_8) = e_4 \in B;$$
$$e_1(e_5 + \alpha_5 e_8) = -2e_5 \in B;$$
$$e_5e_4 = (e_2 + e_3) + e_8 \in B, \text{ and } e_8 \in B.$$

Thus B is a trivial subalgebra.

Now, the theorem is proved.

4. Terminal algebra of 2-dimensional commutative algebras W_2

Following Kantor [2, 7], for 2-dimensional vector space E_2 we define terminal algebra W_2. The space of the algebra W_2 is the space of all bilinear commutative operations on the 2-dimensional space E_2 with the basis e_1, e_2. To specify the operation of multiplication $\cdot$ we fix a vector $e_1 \in E_2$ and set

$$(A \cdot B)(x, y) = A(e_1, B(x, y)) - B(A(e_1, x), y) - B(x, A(e_1, y)),$$

where $x, y \in E_2$ and $A, B \in W_2$. The algebra W_2 is terminal [2], and multiplication F in the associated algebra can be given by equality

$$F(A, B) = (1/3)(2A \cdot B + B \cdot A).$$

We define $\xi_1 = \alpha^1_{11}, \xi_2 = \alpha^1_{12} + \alpha^1_{21}, \xi_3 = \alpha^1_{22}$ and $\xi_4 = \alpha^2_{11}, \xi_5 = \alpha^2_{12} + \alpha^2_{21}, \xi_6 = \alpha^2_{22}$. Using (5), we can describe the multiplication table of terminal algebra W_2:

$$\begin{array}{llll}
\xi_1\cdot\xi_1=-\xi_1 & \xi_2\cdot\xi_1=-\xi_2 & \xi_4\cdot\xi_1=\xi_4 & \xi_5\cdot\xi_1=0\\
\xi_1\cdot\xi_2=0 & \xi_2\cdot\xi_2=-2\xi_3 & \xi_4\cdot\xi_2=\xi_5-2\xi_1 & \xi_5\cdot\xi_2=-\xi_2\\
\xi_1\cdot\xi_3=\xi_3 & \xi_2\cdot\xi_3=0 & \xi_4\cdot\xi_3=-\xi_2+\xi_6 & \xi_5\cdot\xi_3=-2\xi_3\\
\xi_1\cdot\xi_4=-2\xi_4 & \xi_2\cdot\xi_4=\xi_1-\xi_5 & \xi_4\cdot\xi_4=0 & \xi_5\cdot\xi_4=\xi_4\\
\xi_1\cdot\xi_5=-\xi_5 & \xi_2\cdot\xi_5=\xi_2-2\xi_6 & \xi_4\cdot\xi_5=-2\xi_4 & \xi_5\cdot\xi_5=0\\
\xi_1\cdot\xi_6=0 & \xi_2\cdot\xi_6=\xi_3 & \xi_4\cdot\xi_6=-\xi_5 & \xi_5\cdot\xi_6=-\xi_6
\end{array}$$

Later, we will describe some properties of terminal algebra W_2.

Theorem 5. *The algebra of derivations of W_2 is isomorphic to solvable 2-dimensional Lie algebra.*

Proof. Let D is a derivation of W_2 and $D(\xi_i)=\sum\alpha_{ij}\xi_j$.
Then the equality $-D(\xi_1)=D(\xi_1)\xi_1+\xi_1D(\xi_1)$ implies that

$$\alpha_{11}=\alpha_{13}=\alpha_{16}=0.$$

Since $0=D(\xi_1)\xi_2+\xi_1D(\xi_2)$, we can see that

$$\alpha_{15}=\alpha_{24}=0,\alpha_{21}=-2\alpha_{14},\alpha_{23}=2\alpha_{12},\alpha_{14}=\alpha_{25}.$$

Since $-D(\xi_2)=D(\xi_2)\xi_1+\xi_2D(\xi_1)$, we obtain

$$\alpha_{14}=\alpha_{24}=\alpha_{25}=\alpha_{26}=0,\alpha_{23}=2\alpha_{12}.$$

Note, that $D(\xi_3)=-\frac{1}{2}(D(\xi_2)\xi_2+\xi_2D(\xi_2))=2\alpha_{22}\xi_3$.
The equality $D(\xi_4)=D(\xi_4)\xi_1+\xi_4D(\xi_1)$ implies that

$$\alpha_{41}=-\alpha_{12},\alpha_{45}=\alpha_{12},\alpha_{42}=\alpha_{43}=\alpha_{46}=0.$$

From $0=D(\xi_5)\xi_1+\xi_5D(\xi_1)$ follows

$$\alpha_{51}=\alpha_{54}=0,\alpha_{52}=-\alpha_{12}.$$

From $-D(\xi_5)=D(\xi_1)\xi_5+\xi_1D(\xi_5)$ follows

$$\alpha_{53}=0,\alpha_{56}=2\alpha_{12}.$$

From $D(\xi_1-\xi_5)=D(\xi_2)\xi_4+\xi_2D(\xi_4)$ follows

$$\alpha_{22}+\alpha_{44}=\alpha_{55}=0.$$

From $0=D(\xi_1)\xi_6+\xi_1D(\xi_6)$ follows

$$\alpha_{61}=\alpha_{64}=\alpha_{65}=0,\alpha_{63}=-\alpha_{12}.$$

From $D(\xi_2-2\xi_6)=D(\xi_2)\xi_5+\xi_2D(\xi_5)$ follows

$$\alpha_{66}=\alpha_{22}.$$

From $D(\xi_3)=D(\xi_2)\xi_6+\xi_2D(\xi_6)$ follows

$$\alpha_{62}=0.$$

Now, it is easy to see, that

$$\alpha_{12}=\frac{\alpha_{23}}{2}=-\alpha_{41}=\alpha_{45}=-\alpha_{52}=\frac{\alpha_{56}}{2}=-\alpha_{63}=\alpha,$$

$$\alpha_{22}=\frac{\alpha_{33}}{2}=-\alpha_{44}=\alpha_{66}=\beta$$

and all other elements α_{ij} are equal to zero.

Noted, that left multiplications on elements ξ_2 and ξ_5 are derivations ad_{ξ_2} and ad_{ξ_5}. They generating subalgebra of $InnDer(W_2)$, where $[ad_{\xi_2},ad_{\xi_5}]=ad_{\xi_2}$. Every element of subalgebra $InnDer(W_2)$ we can represent as linear mapping with matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta & 2\alpha & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2\beta & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\alpha & 0 & 0 & -\beta & \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & -\alpha & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2\alpha \\ 0 & 0 & -\alpha & 0 & 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix}. \tag{7}$$

The theorem is proved.

Theorem 6. *Let B be a subalgebra of W_2 of codimension 1, then B is generated by $\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \xi_5, \xi_6$.*

Proof. We call subalgebra B is trivial, if B is generated by $\xi_1, \dots, \widehat{\xi_i}, \dots, \xi_6$. Then,
1. If $\xi_i = \xi_1$, then $\xi_2\xi_4 = \xi_1 - \xi_5$; and $B = W_2$.
2. If $\xi_i = \xi_2$, then $\xi_4\xi_3 = -\xi_2 + \xi_6$; and $B = W_2$.
3. If $\xi_i = \xi_3$, then $\xi_2\xi_6 = \xi_3$; and $B = W_2$.
4. If $\xi_i = \xi_4$, then $\langle \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \xi_5, \xi_6 \rangle$ is a proper subalgebra.
5. If $\xi_i = \xi_5$, then $\xi_2\xi_4 = \xi_1 - \xi_5$; and $B = W_2$.
6. If $\xi_i = \xi_6$, then $\xi_2\xi_5 = \xi_2 - 2\xi_6$; and $B = W_2$.

Now, let subalgebra B has linear basis $\{\sum_{j=1}^{6} \alpha_{ji}\xi_j\}_{i=1,\dots,5}$. We can say that or B is trivial subalgebra, or we can choose the basis $\{\xi_j + \alpha_j\xi_6\}_{j=1,\dots,5}$.

In last case, we can see that

$$(\xi_1 + \alpha_1\xi_6)(\xi_1 + \alpha_1\xi_6) = -\xi_1 \in B;$$
$$(\xi_2 + \alpha_2\xi_6)\xi_1 = \xi_2 \in B;$$
$$\xi_1(\xi_3 + \alpha_3\xi_6) = \xi_3 \in B;$$
$$\xi_1(\xi_4 + \alpha_4\xi_6) = -2\xi_4 \in B;$$
$$\xi_1(\xi_5 + \alpha_5\xi_6) = -\xi_5 \in B.$$

It is following that B is a trivial subalgebra.

Now, the theorem is proved.

5. Terminal subalgebras of 2-dimensional commutative algebras S_2 anf H_1.

Algebra S_2 is the subalgebra of W_2 consisting of the bilinear operators $A(x, y)$ such that

$$Sp(T_a) = 0, \forall\, T_a(x) = A(a, x).$$

For linear operator $A = x_1\xi_1 + x_2\xi_2 + x_3\xi_3 + x_4\xi_4 + x_5\xi_5 + x_6\xi_6$ and $a = \alpha_1 e_1 + \alpha_2 e_2$, we find that $Sp(T_a) = \alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2 + \alpha_1 x_5 + \alpha_2 x_6$. Follows, $x_1 = -x_5, x_2 = -x_6$ and subalgebra S_2 generated by elements $\xi_1 - \xi_5, \xi_2 - \xi_6, \xi_3, \xi_4$.

We define $z_1 = \xi_1 - \xi_5, z_2 = \xi_2 - \xi_6, z_3 = \xi_3, z_4 = \xi_4$. Using (5), we can describe the multiplication table of terminal algebra S_2:

$$\begin{array}{lll} z_1 \cdot z_1 = -z_1 & z_2 \cdot z_1 = -2z_2 & z_4 \cdot z_1 = 3z_4 \\ z_1 \cdot z_2 = z_2 & z_2 \cdot z_2 = -3z_3 & z_4 \cdot z_2 = -2z_1 \\ z_1 \cdot z_3 = 3z_3 & z_2 \cdot z_3 = 0 & z_4 \cdot z_3 = -z_2 \\ z_1 \cdot z_4 = -3z_4 & z_2 \cdot z_4 = z_1 & z_4 \cdot z_4 = 0 \end{array}$$

Algebra H_1 is the subalgebra of W_2 consisting of the bilinear operators $A(x, y)$ preserving the nondegenerate skew-symmetric form $<, >$:

$$< A(x, y), z > + < y, A(x, z) >= 0.$$

For $A = x_1\xi_1 + x_2\xi_2 + x_3\xi_3 + x_4\xi_4 + x_5\xi_5 + x_6\xi_6$, we have

$$< A(e_i, e_i), e_j >=< A(e_i, e_j), e_i >=< A(e_j, e_i), e_i >$$

and $x_5 = -x_1, x_6 = -x_2$. Easy to see that $< A(e_i, e_i), e_i >= 0$, and $x_1 = x_6 = 0$. We obtain that subalgebra H_1 generated by elements $\xi_1 - \xi_5, \xi_2 - \xi_6, \xi_3, \xi_4$. Really, H_1 is isomorphic to S_2.

Later, we will describe some properties of terminal algebra S_2.

Theorem 7. *The algebra of derivations of S_2 is zero.*

Proof. Let $D(z_i) = \sum \alpha_{ij} z_j$. Using the multiplication table we can proved that $D(z_i) = 0$.
From $-D(z_1) = D(z_1 z_1) = D(z_1)z_1 + z_1 D(z_1)$, we have $D(z_1) = \alpha_{12} z_2$.
From $-D(z_2) = D(z_1)z_2 + z_1 D(z_2)$, we have $D(z_2) = \alpha_{22} z_2 + \alpha_{23} z_3, \alpha_{23} = 2\alpha_{12}$.
From $3D(z_3) = D(z_1)z_3 + z_1 D(z_3)$, we have $D(z_3) = \alpha_{33} z_3$.
From $-3D(z_4) = D(z_1)z_4 + z_1 D(z_4)$, we have $D(z_4) = \alpha_{44} z_4$.
From $-2D(z_2) = D(z_2 z_1) = D(z_2)z_1 + z_2 D(z_1)$, we have $\alpha_{23} = \alpha_{12} = 0$.
Now, from $-2D(z_2) = D(z_4)z_3 + z_4 D(z_3), -3D(z_3) = D(z_2)z_2 + z_2 D(z_2)$ and $0 = D(z_2)z_4 + z_2 D(z_4)$, we have $\alpha_{22} = \alpha_{33} = \alpha_{44} = 0$.
The Theorem is proved.

Theorem 8. *Let B be a subalgebra of S_2 of codimension 1, then B is generated by z_1, z_2, z_3 or z_1, z_2, z_4.*

Proof. We call subalgebra B is trivial, if B is generated by $z_1, \ldots, \widehat{z_i}, \ldots, z_4$. Then,
1. If $z_i = z_1$, then $z_4 z_2 = -z_1$; and $B = S_2$.
2. If $z_i = z_2$, then $z_4 z_3 = -z_2$; and $B = S_2$.
3. If $z_i = z_3$, then $\langle z_1, z_2, z_4 \rangle$ is a proper subalgebra.
4. If $z_i = z_4$, then $\langle z_1, z_2, z_3 \rangle$ is a proper subalgebra.

Now, let subalgebra B has linear basis $\{\sum_{j=1}^{4} \alpha_{ji} z_j\}_{i=1,\ldots,3}$. We can say that or B is trivial subalgebra, or we can choose the basis $\{z_j + \alpha_j z_4\}_{j=1,\ldots,3}$.
In last case, we can see that

$$(z_1 + \alpha_1 z_4)(z_1 + \alpha_1 z_4) = -z_1 \in B;$$
$$(z_2 + \alpha_2 z_4)(z_2 + \alpha_2 z_4) = -3z_3 - \alpha_2 z_1 \text{ and } z_3 \in B;$$
$$(z_3 + \alpha_3 z_4)(z_3 + \alpha_3 z_4) = -\alpha_3 z_2 \in B.$$

Now, if $\alpha_3 \neq 0$, then subalgebra B is generated by z_1, z_2, z_3, if $\alpha_3 = 0$, then subalgebra B is generated by z_1, z_3, z_4. We can say that subalgebra B is trivial. Now, the theorem is proved.

The first author was supported by the Brazilian FAPESP, Proc. 2011/51132-9, the first and the second authors were supported by the Grants Council (under RF President) (grant MK-330.2013.1) and RFBR 14-01-31122.

References

[1] Kantor I., *Certain generalizations of Jordan algebras*, (Russian) Trudy Sem. Vektor. Tenzor. Anal., 16 (1972), 407–499.
[2] Kantor I., *On an extension of a class of Jordan algebras*, Algebra and Logic, 28 (1989), 2, 117–121
[3] Kantor I., *Terminal trilinear operations*, Algebra and Logic, 28 (1989), 1, 25–40
[4] Kantor I., *Some problems in L-functor theory*, (Russian) Trudy Inst. Mat. (Novosibirsk), 16 (1989), Issled. po Teor. Kolets i Algebr, 54–75.
[5] Cantarini N., Kac V., *Classification of linearly compact simple rigid superalgebras*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN, 17 (2010), 3341–3393.
[6] Kantor I., *A universal attracting object in the category of conservative algebras*, (Russian) Trudy Sem. Vektor. Tenzor. Anal., 23 (1988), 45–48.
[7] Kantor I., *An universal conservative algebra*, Siberian Math. J., 31 (1990), no. 3, 388–395.
[8] Popov A., *Differentiably simple Jordan algebras,* Siberian Math. J., **54** (2013), 4, 713–721.

[9] Kaygorodov I., Popov Yu., *Jordan algebras admitting derivations with invertible values*, preprint.
[10] Kaygorodov I., Popov Yu., *A characterization of nilpotent non associative algebras by invertible Leibniz-derivations*, preprint.
[11] Barreiro E., Elduque A., Martínez C., *Derivations of the Cheng-Kac Jordan superalgebras,* J. Algebra, 338 (2011), 144–156.
[12] Kaygorodov I., Okhapkina E., *δ-derivations of semisimple finite-dimensional structurable algebras,* J. Algebra Appl., 13 (2014), 4, 1350130, 12 pp
[13] Kaygorodov I., *On δ-derivations of simple finite-dimensional Jordan superalgebras,* Algebra and Logic, 46 (2007), 5, 318–329.
[14] Kaygorodov I., *On δ-derivations of classical Lie superalgebras,* Siberian Math. J., 50 (2009), 3, 434–449.
[15] Kaygorodov I., *δ-superderivations of simple finite-dimensional Jordan and Lie superalgebras,* Algebra and Logic, 49 (2010), 2, 130–144.
[16] Zusmanovich P., *On δ-derivations of Lie algebras and superalgebras,* J. Algebra, 324 (2010), 12, 3470–3486.
[17] Kaygorodov I., Zhelyabin V., *On δ-superderivations of simple superalgebras of Jordan brackets*, St. Peterburg Math. J., 23 (2012), 4, 40–58.
[18] Kaygorodov I., *On δ-superderivations of semisimple finite-dimensional Jordan superalgebras*, Math. Notes, 91 (2012), 2, 187–197.
[19] Shestakov A., *Ternary derivations of separable associative and Jordan algebras*, Siberian Math. J., 53 (2012), 5, 943–956.
[20] Shestakov A., *Ternary derivations of simple Jordan superalgebras,* Algebra and Logic, 53 (2014), 3, – .
[21] Dzhumadil'daev A., *Simple Lie algebras with a subalgebra of codimension one*, Russian Math. Surv., 40 (1985), 1, 215–216.
[22] Wilansky A., *Subspaces, subalgebras and ideals of codimension one in complex algebras,* J. London Math. Soc. (2), 9 (1974/75), 87–92.
[23] Kac V., *Classification of simple Z-graded Lie superalgebras and simple Jordan superalgebras*, Comm. Algebra, 5 (1977), 13, 1375–1400.
[24] Allison B., Hein W., *Isotopes of some nonassociative algebras with involution*, J. of Alg., 69 (1981), 120–141.
[25] Kantor I. L., *Connection between Poisson brackets and Jordan and Lie superalgebras*, Lie Theory, Differential Equations and Representation Theory (Montreal, 1989), Univ. Montreal, Montreal, QC, 1990, 213–225
[26] Kaygorodov I., Shestakov I., *Algebras of Jordan brackets and generalized Poisson algebras*, preprint
[27] Kuzmin E., *The structure and representations of finite-dimensional Maltsev algebras*, (Russian) Trudy Inst. Mat. (Novosibirsk) 16 (1989), Issled. po Teor. Kolets i Algebr, 75–101.
[28] Shestakov I., Kuzmin E., *Nonassociative structures,* Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki: Sovremennye Problemy Mat.: Fundamental'nye Napravleniya, vol. 57, VINITI, Moscow 1990, pp. 179–266; English transl., A.I. Kostrikin and I. R. Shafarevich (eds.), Encyclopaedia Math. Sci., vol. 57, Algebra VI, Springer-Verlag, London–New York 1995, pp. 199–280.
[29] Dedkov A., *Some properties of quasi-associative and quasi-alternative algebras*, Siberian Math. J., 30 (1989), 3, 479–483.
[30] Goze M., Remm E., *2-dimensional algebras*, Afr. J. Math. Phys., 10 (2011), 1, 81–91.